

October 18, 2007 8135-5000-59240-06

Deb Gogol, C.E.T Engineering Technologist Canadian Foothills Region EnCana Corporation 150 - 9th Avenue S.W. PO Box 2850 Calgary AB T2P 2S5

Dear Ms. Gogol:

RE: GOOD ENGINEERING PRACTICE APPROVAL 03-06-030 (AMENDMENT #4) SWAN LAKE - MONTNEY

Attached is a Good Engineering Practice (GEP) scheme approval for the subject pool, as requested in your application of July 31, 2007.

As stated in our previous correspondence, the Commission has mapped the subject zone as two separate pools, the upper and lower Montney, designating them as the Montney "A" and Montney "B", respectively. However, for production and reserves purposes the Montney formation will be treated as one pool and as such will be defined as the Swan Lake – Montney.

The Approval 03-06-030 (Amendment #4), is hereby granted under Part 8, Division 6, Section 101 of the British Columbia *Drilling and Production Regulation*. No objections were received following a publication of notice of the application in the OGC website

The approval is intended to allow operational flexibility and to allow the drilling of additional gas wells without spacing and target considerations for maximization of gas recovery.

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned at (250) 952-0366.

Sincerely,

Richard Slocomb, P.Eng.

Supervisor, Reservoir Engineering

Resource Conservation

Attachment

APPROVAL 03-06-030 (Amendment #4)

THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS ACT DRILLING AND PRODUCTION REGULATION OIL AND GAS COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER of a Good Engineering Practice (GEP) scheme of EnCana Corporation (EnCana) for producing the Swan Lake – Montney pool gas wells without spacing, target and production restrictions.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission, pursuant to Part 8, Division 6, Section 101 of the *Drilling and Production Regulation*, hereby approves an amendment to the GEP scheme of EnCana for drilling wells without spacing and target restrictions, as such scheme is described in

an Application from EnCana to the Commission dated February July 31, 2007.

The scheme approval amendment (#3) of March 20, 2007, is hereby amended subject to the conditions herein contained and, in particular:

1. The area of the project shall consist of:

93-P-9

Block A – units 78-80, 88-90, 94-100

Block B – units 56-60, 66-100

Block C – units 51-55, 61-65, 71-75, 81-85, 91-95

Block F – units 1-5, 11-15, 21-25, 31-35, 41-45, 51-55, fractional units 61-65

Block G – units 1-60, fractional units 61-70

Block H - units 4-60, fractional units 61-70

T76 – R15 W6M: fractional Sections 34-36

T77 – R13 W6M: fractional Sections 6 and 7

T77 - R14 W6M: Sections 1-12, 14-18

T77 – R15 W6M: Sections 1-24, 27-32

T77 – R16 W6M: Sections 1-3, 10-15, 22-24, 26-29, 32-36

T78 – R15 W6M: Section 6, 7

T78 – R16 W6M: Sections 1-5, 8-35

T78 – R 17 W6M: Sections 24, 25 and 35.

- 2. The requirements of Section 10 of the *Drilling and Production Regulation* are hereby waived, provided that gas wells within the project area are not completed nearer than 250 m to the sides of the approved project area.
- 3. The gas wells within the project area may be produced without individual well allowable restrictions.
- 4. This approval may be modified or rescinded at a later date if deemed appropriate.

Richard Slocomb, P.Eng.

Supervisor, Reservoir Engineering

Resource Conservation

DATED AT the City of Victoria, in the Province of British Columbia, this 18th day of October 2007.